Optimizations and Extensions for Weighted CFG Parsers

Kilian Gebhardt

Chair for foundations of programming Institute for theoretical computer science TU Dresden

2019-06-04

Outline

Pruning

Pruning for CKY parsing Pruning for deductive parsing

k-best parsing



 During CKY or deductive parsing many items are explored which are not part of the best derivation

Pruning

- During CKY or deductive parsing many items are explored which are not part of the best derivation
- Idea: avoid items that are not part of the best derivation to speed up parsing

Pruning

- During CKY or deductive parsing many items are explored which are not part of the best derivation
- Idea: avoid items that are not part of the best derivation to speed up parsing
- Problem: How can we know these items in advance?

Pruning

- During CKY or deductive parsing many items are explored which are not part of the best derivation
- Idea: avoid items that are not part of the best derivation to speed up parsing
- Problem: How can we know these items in advance?
- Practical solution: Use simple methods but take the risk of finding suboptimal derivation.

Require: weighted binary cfg (N, Σ, P, S, μ) , word $t_1 \dots t_n$ where $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \Sigma$ **Ensure:** family $(c_{i,i,A} \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \le i < j \le n, A \in N)$ such that, for all i, j, A, $c_{i,i,A} = \max\{\mu(d) \mid d \in D_{C}^{A}(t_{i+1} \dots t_{i})\} \cup \{0\}$ 1: function CKY($P, \mu, t_1 \dots t_n$) 2: $(c_{i,i,A} := 0 \mid 0 \le i \le j \le n, A \in N)$ 3. for $1 \le i \le n$ do for $A \rightarrow t_i \in P$ do 4: 5: $c_{i-1,i,A} := \max\{c_{i-1,i,A}, \mu(A \to t_i)\}$ for 2 < r < n do 6: 7: for 0 < i < n - r do 8: i := i + r9: for $m \in \{i + 1, i + 2, \dots, i - 1\}$ do for $B, C \in N$ do 10: 11: for $A \in N$ such that $A \rightarrow BC \in R$ do 12: $c_{i,i,A} := \max\{c_{i,i,A}, \mu(A \to BC) \cdot c_{i,m,B} \cdot c_{m,i,C}\}$

13: return c

Require: weighted binary cfg (N, Σ, P, S, μ) , word $t_1 \dots t_n$ where $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \Sigma$ **Ensure:** family $(c_{i,i,A} \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \le i < j \le n, A \in N)$ such that, for all i, j, A, $c_{i,i,A} \leq \max\{\mu(d) \mid d \in D_G^A(t_{i+1} \dots t_i)\} \cup \{0\}$ 1: function $CKY(P, \mu, t_1 \dots t_n)$ $(c_{i,i,A} := 0 \mid 0 \le i \le j \le n, A \in N)$ 2: 3. for $1 \le i \le n$ do for $A \rightarrow t_i \in P$ do 4: 5: $c_{i-1,i,A} := \max\{c_{i-1,i,A}, \mu(A \to t_i)\}$ 6: $(c_{i-1,i,A} \mid A \in N) := \operatorname{prune}((c_{i-1,i,A} \mid A \in N))$ 7: for 2 < r < n do 8: for 0 < i < n - r do 9: i := i + rfor $m \in \{i + 1, i + 2, \dots, j - 1\}$ do 10: 11: for $B, C \in N$ do 12: if $c_{i,m,B} = 0$ or $c_{m,i,C} = 0$ then continue 13: for $A \in N$ such that $A \rightarrow BC \in R$ do $c_{i,i,A} := \max\{c_{i,i,A}, \mu(A \to BC) \cdot c_{i,m,B} \cdot c_{m,i,C}\}$ 14: $(c_i \downarrow A \mid A \in N) := \operatorname{prune}((c_i \downarrow A \mid A \in N))$ 15: 16: return c

Threshold beam

Require: family $c = (c_{i,j,A} \in \mathbb{R} \mid A \in N)$, threshold $\theta \in [0, 1]$ **Ensure:** family $(c_{i,j,A} \in \mathbb{R} \mid A \in N)$

- 1: function PRUNE(c)
- 2: $m = \max_{A \in N} \{ c_{i,j,A} \mid A \in N \}$
- 3: for $A \in N$ do
- 4: **if** $c_{i,j,A} < m \cdot \theta$ then
- 5: $c_{i,j,A} := 0$
- 6: return c

Threshold beam
Require: family c = (c_{i,j,A} ∈ ℝ | A ∈ N), threshold θ ∈ [0, 1]
Ensure: family (c_{i,j,A} ∈ ℝ | A ∈ N)
1: function PRUNE(c)
2: m = max_{A∈N}{c_{i,j,A} | A ∈ N}
3: for A ∈ N do
4: if c_{i,j,A} < m ⋅ θ then
5: c_{i,j,A} := 0
6: return c
Fixed-sized beam

Require: family $c = (c_{i,j,A} \in \mathbb{R} \mid A \in N)$, size $1 \le n \le |N|$ **Ensure:** family $(c_{i,j,A} \in \mathbb{R} \mid A \in N)$

1: function PRUNE(c) 2: $[s_1, \ldots, s_n] = n - \text{best}\{c_{i,j,A} \mid A \in N\}$ 3: for $A \in N$ do 4: if $c_{i,j,A} < s_n$ then 5: $c_{i,j,A} := 0$ 6: return c

Pruning for CKY parsing- implementation considerations

- No changes to data structures required.
- More speed-ups might be obtained by not adding items to chart which for sure would later be pruned:
 - Threshold beam: store weight m of currently best item. If new item has weight m below $\theta \cdot m$, it is save to prune immediately.
 - Fixed-size beam: store weights of the n best items. If the weight of new is below of worst item, prune immediately.

Require: weighted binary cfg (N, Σ, P, S, μ) , word $t_1 \dots t_n$ where $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \Sigma$ **Ensure:** family $(c_{i,i,A}: \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \le i < j \le n, A \in N)$ such that $c_{i,i,A} = \max\{\mu(d) \mid d \in D_{C}^{A}(t_{i+1} \dots t_{i})\} \cup \{0\}$ 1: function DEDUCE($P, \mu, t_1 \dots t_n$) *queue* := { $(i - 1, A, i, \mu(A \to t_i)) | 1 \le i \le n, A \to t_i \in P$ } 2: $(c_{i,i,A} := 0 \mid 0 \le i \le j \le n, A \in N)$ 3: 4: while *aueue* $\neq \emptyset$ do 5: $(i, A, j, w) := \operatorname{argmax}_{(i, A, j, w) \in queue} w$ queue $\setminus = \{(i, A, i, w)\}$ 6: 7: if $c_{i,i,A} = 0$ then 8: $C_{i,i,A} := W$ queue $\cup = \{(i, A', j', \mu(A' \rightarrow AC) \cdot w \cdot c_{i,j',C}) \mid A' \rightarrow AC \in P\}$ 9: queue $\cup = \{(i', A', j, \mu(A' \rightarrow BA) \cdot c_{i', j, B} \cdot w) \mid A' \rightarrow BA \in P\}$ 10: queue $\cup = \{(i, A', i, \mu(A' \rightarrow A) \cdot w) \mid A' \rightarrow A \in P\}$ 11: 12: return c

Require: weighted binary cfg (N, Σ, P, S, μ) , word $t_1 \dots t_n$ where $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \Sigma$ **Ensure:** family $(c_{i,i,A}: \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \le i \le j \le n, A \in N)$ such that $c_{i,i,A} < \max\{\mu(d) \mid d \in D_{C}^{A}(t_{i+1} \dots t_{i})\} \cup \{0\}$ 1: function DEDUCE($P, \mu, t_1 \dots t_n$) *queue* := { $(i - 1, A, i, \mu(A \to t_i)) | 1 \le i \le n, A \to t_i \in P$ } 2: 3: $(c_{i,i,A} := 0 \mid 0 \le i \le j \le n, A \in N)$ 4: while queue $\neq \emptyset$ do $(i, A, j, w) := \operatorname{argmax}_{(i, A, j, w) \in queue} w$ 5: 6: queue $= \{(i, A, i, w)\}$ 7: if $c_{i,i,A} = 0$ then 8: $C_{i,i,A} := W$ queue $\cup = \{(i, A', i', \mu(A' \rightarrow AC) \cdot w \cdot c_{i,i',c}) \mid A' \rightarrow AC \in P\}$ 9: queue $\cup = \{(i', A', j, \mu(A' \rightarrow BA) \cdot c_{i', i, B} \cdot w) \mid A' \rightarrow BA \in P\}$ 10: queue $\cup = \{(i, A', i, \mu(A' \rightarrow A) \cdot w) \mid A' \rightarrow A \in P\}$ 11: 12: prune(*queue*) 13: return c

Threshold beam

Require: set *queue* $\subseteq \mathbb{N} \times N \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$, threshold $\theta \in [0, 1]$ **Ensure:** set *queue*' $\subseteq \mathbb{N} \times N \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$

- 1: function PRUNE(queue)
- 2: $m = \max_{(i,A,j,w) \in queue} w$
- 3: return $\{(i, A, j, w) \in queue \mid w > \theta \cdot m\}$

Threshold beam

Require: set $queue \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times N \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$, threshold $\theta \in [0, 1]$ **Ensure:** set $queue' \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times N \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$

- 1: function PRUNE(queue)
- 2: $m = \max_{(i,A,j,w) \in queue} w$
- 3: return $\{(i, A, j, w) \in queue \mid w > \theta \cdot m\}$

Fixed-sized beam

Require: set $queue \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times N \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$, size $n \in \mathbb{N}$ **Ensure:** set $queue' \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times N \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$

- 1: function PRUNE(queue)
- 2: $[i_1, \ldots, i_n] = n \text{best}(queue) \text{ w.r.t}$
- 3: return $\{i_1, ..., i_n\}$

Best implement queue as Min-max heap [Atk+86], because access to best and worst elements is required.

- Best implement queue as Min-max heap [Atk+86], because access to best and worst elements is required.
- Again, don't add items to queue if they would be pruned immediately.

- Best implement queue as Min-max heap [Atk+86], because access to best and worst elements is required.
- Again, don't add items to queue if they would be pruned immediately.
- Alternatively, one can shrink the queue only occasionally and not in each iteration of the main loop.

- Best implement queue as Min-max heap [Atk+86], because access to best and worst elements is required.
- Again, don't add items to queue if they would be pruned immediately.
- Alternatively, one can shrink the queue only occasionally and not in each iteration of the main loop.
- Beware: Items for large spans are often more probable than items for small spans. Risk of pruning "good" large items in favour of "bad" small items.

- Best implement queue as Min-max heap [Atk+86], because access to best and worst elements is required.
- Again, don't add items to queue if they would be pruned immediately.
- Alternatively, one can shrink the queue only occasionally and not in each iteration of the main loop.
- Beware: Items for large spans are often more probable than items for small spans. Risk of pruning "good" large items in favour of "bad" small items.

- Best implement queue as Min-max heap [Atk+86], because access to best and worst elements is required.
- Again, don't add items to queue if they would be pruned immediately.
- Alternatively, one can shrink the queue only occasionally and not in each iteration of the main loop.
- Beware: Items for large spans are often more probable than items for small spans. Risk of pruning "good" large items in favour of "bad" small items. (Solution: see A*-star parsing below)

Outline

Pruning

Pruning for CKY parsing Pruning for deductive parsing

k-best parsing

▶ Problem: syntactic ambiguity, e.g., "She saw the astronomer with the telescope."

Problem: syntactic ambiguity, e.g., "She saw the astronomer with the telescope."
 "with the telescope" modifies "saw"

k-best parsing

▶ Problem: syntactic ambiguity, e.g., "She saw the astronomer with the telescope."

- "with the telescope" modifies "saw"
- "with the telescope" modifies "the astronomer"

- ▶ Problem: syntactic ambiguity, e.g., "She saw the astronomer with the telescope."
 - "with the telescope" modifies "saw"
 - "with the telescope" modifies "the astronomer"
- ▶ Goal: given a sentence w, a PCFG G, and a positive integer k, find the k most probable derivations of G for w

k-best parsing – naïve

Require: $k \in \mathbb{N}$, weighted binary CFG (N, Σ, S, R, μ) , word $t_1 \cdots t_n$ **Ensure:** k most probable parse trees of PCFG for $t_1 \cdots t_n$

1: function KBEST(k, R,
$$\mu$$
, t_1, \dots, t_n)
2: $b[i, j, A] := []$ for each cell (i, j, A)
3: for $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ do
4: $c := \{(A(t_{i+1}), w) \mid A \to t_{i+1} \text{ in } R, w = \mu(A \to t_{i+1})\}$
5: $b[i, j, A] = \text{take}(k, \text{sort}(c))$
6: for $z \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ do
7: for $i \in \{0, \dots, n-z\}$ do
8: $j := i + z$
9: for $A \in N$ do
10: $c := \{(A(d_1, d_2), w) \mid A \to BC \text{ in } R, m \in \{i + 1, \dots, j - 1\}, (d_1, w_1) \in b[i, m, B], (d_2, w_2) \in b[m, j, C], w = \mu(A \to BC) \cdot w_1 \cdot w_2\}$
11: $b[i, j, A] = \text{take}(k, \text{sort}(c))$

12: return *b*[0, *n*, *S*]

k-best parsing – implementation of merging

10:
$$c := \{(A(d_1, d_2), w) \mid A \to BC, m \in \{i + 1, ..., j - 1\}, (d_1, w_1) \in b[i, m, B], (d_2, w_2) \in b[m, j, C], w = \mu(A \to BC) \cdot w_1 \cdot w_2\}$$

11: $b[i, j, A] = take(k, sort(c))$

can be implemented as

10:
$$b[i, j, A] := []$$

11: for $m \in \{i + 1, ..., j - 1\}$ do
12: for $A \to BC$ in R do
13: $c := \{(A(d_1, d_2), w) \mid (d_1, w_1) \in b[i, m, B], (d_2, w_2) \in b[m, j, C], w = \mu(A \to BC) \cdot w_1 \cdot w_2\}$
14: $b[i, j, A] := mergeAndTakeK(k, b[i, j, A], c)$

k-best parsing – merging more efficient



[HC05]

Outline

Pruning

Pruning for CKY parsing Pruning for deductive parsing

k-best parsing



weighted deductive parsing computes for each item (i, j, A) in the chart the weight of the most probable derivation from A to t_{i+1}.

- weighted deductive parsing computes for each item (i, j, A) in the chart the weight of the most probable derivation from A to t_{i+1}.
- ▶ How about *future costs*, i.e., the weight of $S \Rightarrow^*_G t_1 \cdots t_i A t_{j+1} \cdots t_n$?

- weighted deductive parsing computes for each item (i, j, A) in the chart the weight of the most probable derivation from A to t_{i+1}.
- ▶ How about *future costs*, i.e., the weight of $S \Rightarrow^*_G t_1 \cdots t_i A t_{j+1} \cdots t_n$?
- If future costs are taken into account, then maybe less items from the queue need to be processed.

- weighted deductive parsing computes for each item (i, j, A) in the chart the weight of the most probable derivation from A to t_{i+1}.
- ▶ How about *future costs*, i.e., the weight of $S \Rightarrow^*_G t_1 \cdots t_i A t_{j+1} \cdots t_n$?
- If future costs are taken into account, then maybe less items from the queue need to be processed.
 - Why?: Usually items with small spans are more probable than items with large spans.

- weighted deductive parsing computes for each item (i, j, A) in the chart the weight of the most probable derivation from A to t_{i+1}.
- ▶ How about *future costs*, i.e., the weight of $S \Rightarrow^*_G t_1 \cdots t_i A t_{j+1} \cdots t_n$?
- If future costs are taken into account, then maybe less items from the queue need to be processed.
 - ▶ Why?: Usually items with small spans are more probable than items with large spans.
 - ▶ This is counteracted by future costs which are higher for items with small spans.

- weighted deductive parsing computes for each item (i, j, A) in the chart the weight of the most probable derivation from A to t_{i+1}.
- ▶ How about *future costs*, i.e., the weight of $S \Rightarrow^*_G t_1 \cdots t_i A t_{j+1} \cdots t_n$?
- If future costs are taken into account, then maybe less items from the queue need to be processed.
 - ▶ Why?: Usually items with small spans are more probable than items with large spans.
 - This is counteracted by future costs which are higher for items with small spans.
- ▶ Klein and Manning [KM03] propose several admissible heuristics.

- weighted deductive parsing computes for each item (i, j, A) in the chart the weight of the most probable derivation from A to t_{i+1}.
- ▶ How about *future costs*, i.e., the weight of $S \Rightarrow^*_G t_1 \cdots t_i A t_{j+1} \cdots t_n$?
- If future costs are taken into account, then maybe less items from the queue need to be processed.
 - ▶ Why?: Usually items with small spans are more probable than items with large spans.
 - This is counteracted by future costs which are higher for items with small spans.
- ▶ Klein and Manning [KM03] propose several admissible heuristics.
- A heuristic may also be useful when pruning items during CKY parsing.

A*-parsing- Viterbi outside score

We use the admissible heuristic out:

$$\operatorname{out}(A) = \max_{d \in D_G, u, w \in \Sigma^* : S \stackrel{d}{\Rightarrow}_G u A w} \operatorname{weight}(d)$$

It can be computed by a variant of the inside/outside algorithm:

1: function INSIDE 2: for $A \in N$ do 3: $in(A) := \max(\{\mu(A \to \alpha) \mid A \to \alpha \in R\} \cup \{0\})$ 4: while not converged do 5: for $A \in N$ do 6: $in(A) = \max(\{in(A)\} \cup \{\mu(A \to BC) \cdot in(B) \cdot in(C) \mid A \to BC \text{ in } R\})$ 7: function **OUTSIDE** set $out(B) := \begin{cases} 1 & B = S \\ 0 & otherwise \end{cases}$ for each $B \in N$ 8: 9: while not converged do 10: for $B \in N$ do $\operatorname{out}(B) := \max(\{\operatorname{out}(B)\} \cup \{\operatorname{out}(A) \cdot \mu(A \to BC) \cdot \operatorname{in}(C) \mid A \to BC \text{ in } R\}$ 11: $\cup \{ \operatorname{out}(A) \cdot \mu(A \to CB) \cdot \operatorname{in}(C) \mid A \to CB \text{ in } R \}$

A*-parsing – parsing algorithm with heuristic

Require: weighted binary cfg (N, Σ, P, S, μ) , word $t_1 \dots t_n$ where $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \Sigma$ **Ensure:** family $(c_{i,i,A} : \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \le i < j \le n, A \in N)$ such that $c_{i,i,A} = \max\{\mu(d) \mid d \in D_{C}^{A}(t_{i+1} \dots t_{i})\} \cup \{0\}$ 1: function DEDUCE($P, \mu, t_1 \dots t_n$) *queue* := { $(i - 1, A, i, \mu(A \to t_i)) | 1 \le i \le n, A \to t_i \in P$ } 2: $(c_{i,i,A} := 0 \mid 0 \le i \le j \le n, A \in N)$ 3: 4: while *aueue* $\neq \emptyset$ do 5: $(i, A, j, w) := \operatorname{argmax}_{(i, A, j, w) \in queue} w \cdot \operatorname{out}(A)$ queue $\setminus = \{(i, A, i, w)\}$ 6: 7: if $c_{i,i,A} = 0$ then 8: $C_{i,i,A} := W$ queue $\cup = \{(i, A', j', \mu(A' \rightarrow AC) \cdot w \cdot c_{i,j',C}) \mid A' \rightarrow AC \in P\}$ 9: queue $\cup = \{(i', A', j, \mu(A' \rightarrow BA) \cdot c_{i', j, B} \cdot w) \mid A' \rightarrow BA \in P\}$ 10: queue $\cup = \{(i, A', i, \mu(A' \rightarrow A) \cdot w) \mid A' \rightarrow A \in P\}$ 11: 12: return c

- [Atk+86] M. D. Atkinson et al. "Min-max Heaps and Generalized Priority Queues". In: Commun. ACM 29.10 (Oct. 1986), pp. 996–1000. ISSN: 0001-0782. DOI: 10.1145/6617.6621. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/6617.6621.
- [HC05] Liang Huang and David Chiang. "Better k-best parsing". In: Proceedings of the Ninth International Workshop on Parsing Technology. Association for Computational Linguistics. 2005, pp. 53–64.
- [KM03] Dan Klein and Christopher D. Manning. "A* Parsing: Fast Exact Viterbi Parse Selection". In: Proceedings of the 2003 Human Language Technology Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics. 2003, pp. 119–126. URL: https://www.aclweb.org/anthology/N03-1016.