

## Formale Baumsprachen

---

### **Task 22 (path languages [Com+08, Exercise 2.8])**

**Definition.** Let  $\Sigma$  be a ranked alphabet. For every  $\xi = \sigma(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \in T_\Sigma$ , the *set of paths of  $\xi$*  is recursively defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Paths}(\sigma) &= \{\sigma\}, & \text{and} \\ \text{Paths}(\sigma(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)) &= \{\sigma\} \cdot \bigcup_{i \in [k]} \text{Paths}(\xi_k) & \text{if } k > 0. \end{aligned}$$

- (a) Prove that  $\text{Paths}(L) = \bigcup_{\xi \in L} \text{Paths}(\xi)$  is regular for every regular tree language  $L$ .
- (b) What about the converse?

### **Task 23 (Myhill-Nerode theorem for trees (I))**

Give an example for a bu-det fta  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\sim_{\mathcal{A}} \subsetneq \equiv_{L(\mathcal{A})}$ .

### **Task 24 (Myhill-Nerode theorem for trees (II))**

Let  $\Sigma = \{\sigma^{(2)}, \alpha^{(0)}, \beta^{(0)}\}$  be a ranked alphabet and  $L \subseteq T_\Sigma$  be the language consisting of all trees with exactly as many  $\alpha$ s as  $\beta$ s. Use the Myhill-Nerode theorem to show that  $L$  is not recognizable.

### **References**

- [Com+08] Hubert Comon, Max Dauchet, Rémi Gilleron, Christof Löding, Florent Jacquemard, Denis Lugiez, Sophie Tison, and Marc Tommasi. *Tree Automata Techniques and Applications*. Nov. 18, 2008. url: <http://tata.gforge.inria.fr/>.