

A small Prolog⁻-program

- ▶ natural numbers in Prolog⁻:

```
nat(0).                % (nat1)
nat(s(X)) :- nat(X).  % (nat2)
```

- ▶ an *SLD-derivation*:

```
?- nat(s(s(0))).      % Is 2 a natural number?
?- nat(s(0)).         % by (nat2)
?- nat(0).           % by (nat2)
?- .                 % by (nat1)
```

- ▶ *SLD-refutation*: SLD-derivation with final goal ?- .

The context-free syntax of Prolog⁻

$\langle prog \rangle ::= \langle pred \rangle \hat{\{ \langle pred \rangle \}}$	
$\langle pred \rangle ::= \langle clause \rangle \hat{\{ \langle clause \rangle \}}$	(predicate definitions)
$\langle clause \rangle ::= \langle lit \rangle :- \langle lit \rangle \hat{\{ , \langle lit \rangle \}} .$	(rules)
$\hat{\{ \langle lit \rangle \}} .$	(facts)
$\langle lit \rangle ::= \langle predid \rangle \hat{\{ \langle predid \rangle (\langle pat \rangle \hat{\{ , \langle pat \rangle \}})$	((positive) literals)
$\langle pat \rangle ::= \langle varid \rangle \hat{\{ \langle conid \rangle \hat{\{ \langle conid \rangle (\langle pat \rangle \hat{\{ , \langle pat \rangle \}})$	(patterns)
$\langle conid \rangle ::= \hat{\{ a \hat{\{ \dots \hat{\{ z \hat{\{ a \hat{\{ \dots \hat{\{ z \hat{\{$	(constructors)
$\langle predid \rangle ::= \hat{\{ a \hat{\{ \dots \hat{\{ z \hat{\{ a \hat{\{ \dots \hat{\{ z \hat{\{$	(predicate symbols)
$\langle varid \rangle ::= \hat{\{ A \hat{\{ \dots \hat{\{ Z \hat{\{ A \hat{\{ \dots \hat{\{ Z \hat{\{ a \hat{\{ \dots \hat{\{ z \hat{\{ \theta \hat{\{ \dots \hat{\{ 9 \hat{\{$	(variables)
$\langle goal \rangle ::= ? - \langle lit \rangle \hat{\{ , \langle lit \rangle \}} .$	(goals)
$\hat{\{ ? - .$	(empty goal)

Example: Summation (I)

- ▶ addition in Prolog⁻:

```
nat(0).                % (nat1)
nat(s(X)) :- nat(X).   % (nat2)
```

```
sum(0, X, X)          :- nat(X).    % (sum1)
sum(s(X), Y, s(Z)) :- sum(X, Y, Z). % (sum2)
```

- ▶ Is $2 + 5 = 7$?

```
?- sum(<2>, <5>, <7>).           % <n> = s(...s(0)...) with n times s
?- sum(<1>, <5>, <6>).           % by (sum2)
?- sum(<0>, <5>, <5>).           % by (sum2)
?- nat(<5>).                     % by (sum1)
?- nat(<4>).                     % by (nat2)
?- ...
?- nat(<0>).                     % by (nat2)
?- .                             % by (nat1)
```

Example: Summation (II)

- ▶ addition in Prolog⁻:

```
nat(0).                % (nat1)
nat(s(X)) :- nat(X).   % (nat2)
```

```
sum(0, X, X)          :- nat(X).    % (sum1)
sum(s(X), Y, s(Z)) :- sum(X, Y, Z). % (sum2)
```

- ▶ Solve $x + 1 = 3$.

```
?- sum(X, <1>, <3>).
{X =s(X1)} ?- sum(X1, <1>, <2>).    % by (sum2)
{X1=s(X2)} ?- sum(X2, <1>, <1>).    % by (sum2)
{X2=0}     ?- nat(<1>).             % by (sum1)
           ?- nat(<0>).            % by (nat2)
           ?-.                     % by (nat1)
```

- ▶ $X = s(X1) = s(s(X2)) = s(s(0))$

Example: Computations without success

- ▶ addition in Prolog⁻:

```
nat(0).                % (nat1)
nat(s(X)) :- nat(X).   % (nat2)
```

```
sum(0, X, X)          :- nat(X).    % (sum1)
sum(s(X), Y, s(Z)) :- sum(X, Y, Z). % (sum2)
```

- ▶ Solve $x + 1 = 3$.

```
?- sum(X, <1>, <3>).
{X =s(X1)} ?- sum(X1, <1>, <2>).    % by (sum2)
{X1=s(X2)} ?- sum(X2, <1>, <1>).    % by (sum2)
{X2=s(X3)} ?- sum(X3, <1>, <0>).    % by (sum2)
```

Example: Lists

- ▶ lists in Prolog⁻:

```
list([]).
```

```
list([X|Xs]) :- list(Xs).
```

- ▶ abbreviate [A|[B|[C|[]]]] by [A, B, C]

Example: Sum of Lists

- ▶ sum of lists in Prolog⁻:

```
listsum([], 0).  
listsum([X|Xs], Z) :- listsum(Xs, Y), sum(X, Y, Z).
```

```
sum(0, X, X) :- nat(X).  
sum(s(X), Y, s(Z)) :- sum(X, Y, Z).
```

- ▶ sum of [$\langle 2 \rangle$, $\langle 3 \rangle$, $\langle 1 \rangle$]:

```
?- listsum([<2>, <3>, <1>], U).  
?- listsum([<3>, <1>], Y), sum(<2>, Y, U).  
?- listsum([<1>], V), sum(<3>, V, Y), sum(<2>, Y, U).  
?- listsum([], T), sum(<1>, T, V), sum(<3>, V, Y), sum(<2>, Y, U).  
{T = 0} ?- sum(<1>, 0, V), sum(<3>, V, Y), sum(<2>, Y, U).  
{V = s(V1)} ?- sum(0, 0, V1), sum(<3>, s(V1), Y), sum(<2>, Y, U).  
{V1 = 0} ?- sum(<3>, s(0), Y), sum(<2>, Y, U).  
{Y = s(s(s(Y1)))} ?-* sum(0, s(0), Y1), sum(<2>, s(s(s(Y1))), U).  
{Y1 = s(0)} ?- sum(<2>, <4>, U).  
{U = s(s(U1))} ?-* sum(0, <4>, U1).  
{U1 = <4>} ?-.
```

- ▶ $U = s(s(U1)) = s(s(\langle 4 \rangle)) = \langle 6 \rangle$

Example: Propositional logic (Aussagenlogik)

- ▶ terms made of variables, conjunction (\wedge), disjunction (\vee), and negation (\sim)
- ▶ satisfiable (`sat`) and invalid (`inv`) formulae

`sat(true)`.

`sat(X \wedge Y) :- sat(X), sat(Y)`.

`sat(X \vee Y) :- sat(X)`.

`sat(X \vee Y) :- sat(Y)`.

`sat(\sim X) :- inv(X)`.

`inv(X \wedge Y) :- inv(X)`.

`inv(X \wedge Y) :- inv(Y)`.

`inv(X \vee Y) :- inv(X), inv(Y)`.

`inv(\sim X) :- sat(X)`.

- ▶ Is $U \wedge \sim(\sim U \vee W)$ satisfiable?

?- `sat(U \wedge $\sim(\sim U \vee W)$)`.

?- `sat(U), sat($\sim(\sim U \vee W)$)`.

`{U=true} ?- sat($\sim(\sim$ true \vee W))`.

?- `inv(\sim true \vee W)`.

?- `inv(\sim true), inv(W)`.

?- `sat(true), inv(W)`.

?- `inv(W)`.

`{W= \sim Z} ?- sat(Z)`.

`(Z=true) ?-`.

- ▶ $U = \text{true}$ and $W = \sim Z = \sim \text{true}$ satisfies $U \wedge \sim(\sim U \vee W)$

SLD-Resolution (Selective Linear Definite clause resolution)

Let

- ▶ P be a Prolog⁻ program and
- ▶ $G = (?- L_1, \dots, L_n .)$ with $n \geq 1$ be a goal of P .

If

- ▶ there is an $i \in [n]$,
- ▶ there is a variant $C = (M_0 :- M_1, \dots, M_m .)$ of a rule of P (i.e. C is constructed by renaming variables) such that G and C have no variables in common, and
- ▶ σ is the most general unifier of L_i and M_0 ,

then

$$G' = (?- \tilde{\sigma}(L_1), \dots, \tilde{\sigma}(L_{i-1}), \tilde{\sigma}(M_1), \dots, \tilde{\sigma}(M_m), \tilde{\sigma}(L_{i+1}), \dots, \tilde{\sigma}(L_n) .)$$

is called a *resolvent of G and C with σ* . The literal L_i is called the *selected literal* in G .

SLD-Derivation and SLD-Refutation

Let

- ▶ P be a Prolog⁻ program and
- ▶ G be a goal for P .

An *SLD-derivation* of (P, G) is a (possibly infinite) sequence $G_0, G_1, G_2, G_3, \dots$ of goals for P , such that

- ▶ $G_0 = G$,
- ▶ there is a sequence C_1, C_2, C_3, \dots of variants of rules of P ,
- ▶ there is a sequence $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \dots$ of most general unifiers, and
- ▶ G_{i+1} is a resolvent of G_i and C_{i+1} with σ_{i+1} for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

An *SLD-refutation* of (P, G) is a finite SLD-derivation $G_0, G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n$ of (P, G) with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $G_n = (?-.)$.

Notation of SLD-refutations:

	G_0
$\{\sigma_1\}$	G_1
\vdots	\vdots
$\{\sigma_n\}$	G_n

Computed Answer

Let

- ▶ P be a Prolog⁻ program,
- ▶ G be a goal for P , and
- ▶ $\left(\begin{array}{cc} & G_0 \\ \{\sigma_1\} & G_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \{\sigma_n\} & G_n \end{array} \right)$ be an SLD-refutation of (P, G) .

The substitution σ which results from restricting the composition $\sigma_1 \circ \sigma_2 \circ \dots \circ \sigma_n$ to the variables in G is called a *computed answer for* (P, G) .

Relationship between clauses of Prolog⁻ and formulas of first-order predicate logic

	Prolog⁻	formula
rule	$L_0 \text{ :- } L_1, \dots, L_n.$	$\forall X(L_0 \leftarrow L_1 \wedge \dots \wedge L_n)$
goal	$?- L_1, \dots, L_n.$	$\forall X(\neg L_1 \vee \dots \vee \neg L_n)$
empty goal	$?-.$	$(\bigvee_{L \in \emptyset} L \leftarrow \bigwedge_{L \in \emptyset} L) \iff (\text{false} \leftarrow \text{true})$

where $\forall X$ represents the universal quantification of all variables that occur in the Horn-clause.

$$\begin{aligned} \forall X(L_0 \leftarrow L_1 \wedge \dots \wedge L_n) &\iff \forall X(L_0 \vee \neg(L_1 \wedge \dots \wedge L_n)) && \text{(since } a \leftarrow b \iff a \vee \neg b) \\ &\iff \forall X(\underbrace{L_0 \vee \neg L_1 \vee \dots \vee \neg L_n}_{\text{Horn clause}}) && \text{(by DeMorgan's law)} \end{aligned}$$